The Management of Urological Catheter Problems

Local Procedure

Department / Service:	Urology
Originator:	Vincent Koo, Consultant Urology
Accountable Director:	Tina Wright
Approved by:	Urology Directorate
	Division of Surgery Governance meeting
Date of approval:	29 th April 2025
Review Date:	29 th April 2028
This is the most	
current document and	
should be used until a	
revised version is in	
place	
Target Organisation(s)	Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust
Target Departments	A&E, all Wards
Target staff categories	Trust clinicians, junior doctors, nursing staff, Physician
	Associates

Plan Overview:

This procedure sets out the key steps necessary to deliver safe care in the management of patients who have urethral catheter or suprapubic catheter problems.

Key amendments to this Document:

Date	Amendment	By:
October 2022	New document approved	Urology Directorate,
		Surgery Governance
29 th April	No changes to key document, extended for	Mr Koo
2025	another 3 years	

The Management of Urological Catheter Problems				
WAHT-URO-011				





Contents page:

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Definition
- 3. Catheter insertion and problems
- 4. Implementation
- 5. Monitoring and compliance

The Management of Urological Catheter Problems			
WAHT-URO-011		Page 2 of 9	Version 2



1. INTRODUCTION

This document was written to enable staff to follow the correct procedure for catheter problems according to current agreed evidence based clinical practice in the urology department.

2. DEFINITIONS AND/OR ABBREVIATIONS

Trust	Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust	
Staff	All employees of the Trust including those	
	managed by a third party on behalf of the Trust	
Invasive procedure	A procedure that has the potential to be associated	
	with a Never Event if safety standards are not set	
	and followed	
NatSSIPs	National Safety Standards for Invasive Procedures	
LocSSIPs	Local Safety Standards for Invasive Procedures	
WHO	World Health Organisation	
NPSA	National Patient Safety Agency	
NOAC	Novel Oral Anti-Coagulants	
LMWH	Low molecular weight heparin	

3. Urethral catheter insertion procedure

- 1) Insert under aseptic conditions and request assistance from nursing staff.
- 2) Normally use 16F size with a 10mL balloon.
- 3) In a male pass the catheter all the way in to avoid inflating balloon in the urethra. If the catheter side arm distends as you are filling the catheter balloon, the balloon may be in urethra. Having filled the balloon it should be possible to withdraw the catheter freely several centimetres (a sign that the balloon is in the bladder and not the urethra).
- 4) Document residual urine volume drained in first 5 minutes.
- 5) Remember to replace foreskin to prevent paraphimosis.
- 6) Send a catheter specimen of urine for C & S if urinary tract infection suspected and start empirical antibiotics.
- 7) In retention perform a digital rectal examination: look specifically for faecal loading, prostate size, prostate consistency, malignancy, tenderness and blood on the glove.
- 8) Use 22F 3-way catheter, if visible haematuria and clots seen (avoid suprapubic catheterisation with visible haematuria).

The Management of Urological Catheter Problems				
WAHT-URO-011	WAHT-URO-011 Page 3 of 9			





3.1 Difficult catheter insertion

If difficult some simple tricks include:

- 1) Try 2 tubes of instillagel.
- Try a more rigid (silicone) or larger catheter e.g. if using 12-14F, then try 16F.
- 3) Tiemanns tip catheter (need appropriate training to use).

The following options should only be performed by those with appropriate training:

- 1) Catheter introducer or attempt catheter insertion over a guide wire.
- 2) Flexible cystoscopy under LA and insert catheter over a guide wire.
- Suprapubic catheter insertion (this should be the last local anaesthetic option and avoided where there is haematuria, known bladder cancer history or previous lower abdominal/vascular surgery – requires USS guidance).
- 4) Cystoscopy and insertion of urethral catheter under general / spinal anaesthesia.

3.2 Bypassing catheter

- 1) Either due to blockage or bladder spasm.
- 2) Treat as blocked catheter +/- anticholinergic medication.
- 3) Exclude UTI and treat accordingly.

3.3 Blocked catheter

- 1) Often secondary to debris.
- 2) Flush catheter with sterile water / saline or replace catheter.

3.4 Blocked suprapubic catheter (SPC)

- 1) Often secondary to debris.
- 2) Flush catheter using catheter-tip syringe with sterile water / saline.
- 3) If catheter remains blocked it may need replacing (NB do not remove suprapubic catheter within 6 weeks of insertion or track may be lost, it may be better to insert a urethral catheter temporarily until suprapubic track has matured). Reinsert new SPC quickly after removal, some SPC tracks can close very soon.

3.5 Suprapubic catheter has fallen out

- 1) Attempt re-insertion, use instillagel via tract, with 14 -16F rigid catheter.
- 2) If this fails attempt to insert a urethral catheter.
- 3) If this fails seek senior urological advice.

The Management of Urological Catheter Problems				
WAHT-URO-011 Page 4 of 9 Vers				





4 Implementation

4.1 Plan for implementation

As soon as approved at Directorate meeting

4.2 Dissemination

Trustwide

4.3 Training and awareness

Human Factors Training offered by Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust

5. Monitoring and compliance

The NHSLA requirements are -

Organisations should measure, monitor and evaluate compliance with the minimum requirement within the NHSLA Risk Management Standards. This should include the use of audits and data related to the minimum requirements. The organisation should define the frequency and detail of the measurement, monitoring and evaluation processes.

Monitoring demonstrates whether or not the process for managing risk, as described in the approved documentation, is working across the entire organisation. Where failings have been identified, action plans must have been drawn up and changes made to reduce the risks.

Monitoring is normally proactive - designed to highlight issues before an incident occurs – and should consider both positive and negative aspects of a process. The table below should help to detail the 'Who, What, Where and How' for the monitoring of this Policy.

The Management of Urological Catheter Problems				
WAHT-URO-011				





Monitoring Tool

This should include realistic goals, timeframes and measurable outcomes.

How will monitoring be carried out?

Who will monitor compliance with the guideline?

Page/ Section of Key Document	Key control:	Checks to be carried out to confirm compliance with the policy:	How often the check will be carried out:	Responsible for carrying out the check:	Results of check reported to: (Responsible for also ensuring actions are developed to address any areas of non-compliance)	Frequency of reporting:
	WHAT?	HOW?	WHEN?	WHO?	WHERE?	WHEN?
	These are the 'key' parts of the process that we are relying on to manage risk. We may not be able to monitor every part of the process, but we MUST monitor the key elements, otherwise we won't know whether we are keeping patients, visitors and/or staff safe.	What are we going to do to make sure the key parts of the process we have identified are being followed? (Some techniques to consider are; audits, spot-checks, analysis of incident trends, monitoring of attendance at training.)	Be realistic. Set achievable frequencies. Use terms such as '10 times a year' instead of 'monthly'.	Who is responsible for the check? Is it listed in the 'duties' section of the policy? Is it in the job description?	Who will receive the monitoring results? Where this is a committee the committee's specific responsibility for monitoring the process must be described within its terms of reference.	Use terms such as '10 times a year' instead of 'monthly'.
Pg 4-5	Compliance to Clinician Team responsibilities for pre-procedure and post-procedure follow up.	DATIX records of non- compliance	Yearly	Urology Governance Leads	Directorate Manager	Yearly

The Management of Urological Catheter Problems				
WAHT-URO-011		Page 6 of 9		Version 2



Contribution List

This key document has been circulated to the following individuals for consultation;

Designation

This key document has been circulated to the chair(s) of the following committee's / groups for comments;

Committee

Urology Directorate meeting

Surgical Division Governance meeting

The Management of Urological Catheter Problems			
WAHT-URO-011		Page 7 of 9	Version 2



Supporting Document 1 - Equality Impact Assessment Tool

To be completed by the key document author and attached to key document when submitted to the appropriate committee for consideration and approval.

		Yes/No	Comments
1.	Does the policy/guidance affect one group less or more favourably than another on the basis of:		
	Race	No	
	 Ethnic origins (including gypsies and travellers) 	No	
	Nationality	No	
	Gender	No	
	Culture	No	
	Religion or belief	No	
	 Sexual orientation including lesbian, gay and bisexual people 	No	
	• Age	No	
2.	Is there any evidence that some groups are affected differently?	No	
3.	If you have identified potential discrimination, are any exceptions valid, legal and/or justifiable?	No	
4.	Is the impact of the policy/guidance likely to be negative?	No	
5.	If so can the impact be avoided?	N/a	
6.	What alternatives are there to achieving the policy/guidance without the impact?	N/a	
7.	Can we reduce the impact by taking different action?	N/a	

If you have identified a potential discriminatory impact of this key document, please refer it to Assistant Manager of Human Resources, together with any suggestions as to the action required to avoid/reduce this impact.

For advice in respect of answering the above questions, please contact Assistant Manager of Human Resources.

The Management of Urological Catheter Problems				
WAHT-URO-011		Page 8 of 9	Version 2	





Supporting Document 2 – Financial Impact Assessment

To be completed by the key document author and attached to key document when submitted to the appropriate committee for consideration and approval.

	Title of document:	Yes/No
1.	Does the implementation of this document require any additional Capital resources	No
2.	Does the implementation of this document require additional revenue	No
3.	Does the implementation of this document require additional manpower	No
4.	Does the implementation of this document release any manpower costs through a change in practice	No
5.	Are there additional staff training costs associated with implementing this document which cannot be delivered through current training programmes or allocated training times for staff	No
	Other comments:	

If the response to any of the above is yes, please complete a business case which is signed by your Finance Manager and Directorate Manager for consideration by the Accountable Director before progressing to the relevant committee for approval

The Management of Urological Catheter Problems				
WAHT-URO-011		Page 9 of 9	Version 2	